

## Curvature singularity

We wish to show that there is a curvature singularity at  $r = 0$  of the Schwarzschild solution. We cannot use either of the invariants  $R$  or  $R_{ab}R^{ab}$  since both the Ricci tensor and the Ricci scalar vanish. The next simplest invariant is  $R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$

The curvatures are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{010}^1 &= \frac{1}{2}\nu_{,11}e^{\nu-\lambda} + \frac{1}{4}\nu_{,1}\nu_{,1}e^{\nu-\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}\nu_{,1}\lambda_{,1}e^{\nu-\lambda} \\
 R_{212}^1 &= \frac{1}{2}r\lambda_{,1}e^{-\lambda} \\
 R_{313}^1 &= \frac{r}{2}\lambda_{,1}e^{-\lambda}\sin^2\theta \\
 R_{020}^2 &= \frac{1}{2r}\nu_{,1}e^{\nu-\lambda} \\
 R_{323}^2 &= (1 - e^{-\lambda})\sin^2\theta \\
 R_{030}^3 &= \frac{1}{2r}\nu_{,1}e^{\nu-\lambda}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^\nu &= 1 - \frac{2m}{r} = e^{-\lambda} \\
 \nu_{,1} &= \frac{2m}{r^2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} = \frac{2m}{r(r-2m)} \\
 \lambda_{,1} &= -\frac{2m}{r(r-2m)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{010}^1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\nu_{,11} + \nu_{,1}\nu_{,1})e^{\nu-\lambda} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{4m}{r^3\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} - \frac{4m^2}{r^4\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^2} + \frac{4m^2}{r^4\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^2 \\
 &= -\frac{2m}{r^3}\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \\
 R_{212}^1 &= -\frac{m}{r} \\
 R_{313}^1 &= -\frac{m}{r}\sin^2\theta \\
 R_{020}^2 &= \frac{m}{r^3}\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \\
 R_{323}^2 &= \frac{2m}{r}\sin^2\theta \\
 R_{030}^3 &= \frac{m}{r^3}\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Check

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{010}^1 &= \frac{1}{2}\nu_{,11}e^{\nu-\lambda} + \frac{1}{4}\nu_{,1}\nu_{,1}e^{\nu-\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}\nu_{,1}\lambda_{,1}e^{\nu-\lambda} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(\nu_{,11} + \nu_{,1}\nu_{,1})e^{\nu-\lambda}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{4m}{r^3 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} - \frac{4m^2}{r^4 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^2} + \frac{4m^2}{r^4 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^2 \\
&= -\frac{2m}{r^3} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Lowering the upper index,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{1010} &= -\frac{2m}{r^3} \\
R_{1212} &= -\frac{m}{r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} \\
R_{1313} &= -\frac{m}{r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} \sin^2 \theta \\
R_{2020} &= \frac{m}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \\
R_{2323} &= 2mr \sin^2 \theta \\
R_{3030} &= \frac{m}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \sin^2 \theta
\end{aligned}$$

and raising all four indices,

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{1010} &= -\frac{2m}{r^3} \\
R^{1212} &= -\frac{m}{r^5} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \\
R^{1313} &= -\frac{m}{r^5 \sin^2 \theta} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \\
R^{2020} &= \frac{m}{r^5 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} \\
R^{2323} &= \frac{2m}{r^7 \sin^2 \theta} \\
R^{3030} &= \frac{m}{r^5 \sin^2 \theta \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

To compute the invariant, we will have sums including all rearrangements of the indices of the nonvanishing terms, for example,

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_{1212}R^{1212} \\
&R_{2112}R^{2112} \\
&R_{1221}R^{1221} \\
&R_{2121}R^{2121}
\end{aligned}$$

So each term must be counted four times. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{abcd}R^{abcd} &= 4 \left(-\frac{2m}{r^3}\right) \left(-\frac{2m}{r^3}\right) \\
&+ 4 \left(-\frac{m}{r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)}\right) \left(-\frac{m}{r^5} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\right) \\
&+ 4 \left(-\frac{m}{r \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} \sin^2 \theta\right) \left(-\frac{m}{r^5 \sin^2 \theta} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{4m}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \frac{m}{r^5 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} \\
& + 8mr \sin^2 \theta \frac{2m}{r^7 \sin^2 \theta} \\
& + \frac{4m}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) \sin^2 \theta \frac{m}{r^5 \sin^2 \theta \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

so simplifying,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{abcd}R^{abcd} &= -\frac{16m^2}{r^6} + \frac{4m^2}{r^6} + \frac{4m^2}{r^6} + \frac{4m^2}{r^6} + \frac{16m^2}{r^6} + \frac{4m^2}{r^6} \\
&= \frac{16m^2}{r^6}
\end{aligned}$$

which diverges strongly at  $r = 0$ , but is regular at  $r = 2m$ .

## Regularity at $r = 2m$

It turns out that the Schwarzschild metric has only a coordinate singularity at  $r = 2m$ . A change of coordinates removes the singular factor,  $1 - \frac{2m}{r}$ . Null coordinates,  $u$  and  $v$ , such that holding either  $u$  or  $v$  constant gives a null geodesic, turn out to not only remove the singularity, but also give a clearer picture of the causal relationships near the star.

First, we find the null geodesics in the  $rt$ -plane. We have already shown that

$$u^0 = \frac{k}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}$$

and the line element then tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) (u^0)^2 + \frac{(u^1)^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} \\
&= -\frac{k^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} + \frac{(u^1)^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$u^1 = \pm k$$

Taking the quotient,

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{u^1}{u^0} = \pm \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)$$

and integrating,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pm t &= \int \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} \\
&= \int \frac{r dr}{r - 2m} \\
&= \int \frac{(r - 2m) dr}{r - 2m} + \int \frac{2m dr}{r - 2m} \\
\pm t &= r + 2m \ln(r - 2m) + c
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, defining

$$\begin{aligned} u &= t + r + 2m \ln(r - 2m) \\ v &= t - r - 2m \ln(r - 2m) \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $u = \text{constant}$  gives an ingoing null geodesic and  $v = \text{constant}$  gives an outgoing null geodesic. To write the line element in terms of  $u$  and  $v$ , compute their differentials,

$$\begin{aligned} du &= dt + dr \left( 1 + \frac{2m}{r - 2m} \right) \\ &= dt + \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} \\ dv &= dt - \frac{dr}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} dudv &= dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^2} \\ ds^2 &= - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{r}} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \\ &= - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dudv + r^2 d\Omega^2 \end{aligned}$$

This is still singular at  $r = 2m$ , but now define

$$\begin{aligned} U &= e^{\frac{u}{4m}} \\ V &= e^{-\frac{v}{4m}} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} dUdV &= -\frac{1}{16m^2} dudv e^{\frac{u-v}{4m}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{16m^2} dudv e^{\frac{r}{2m} + \ln(r-2m)} \\ &= -\frac{r}{16m^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) e^{\frac{r}{2m}} dudv \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into the line element, we have

$$ds^2 = \frac{16m^2}{r} e^{-\frac{r}{2m}} dUdV + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

where  $r = r(U, V)$ . There is clearly no problem at  $r = 2m$ , which is called the event horizon.

## Radial infall

We now consider a particle falling into the black hole. Since the neighborhood of  $r = 2m$  is now established to be regular, there can be nothing to keep a particle from falling across the horizon. We therefore consider a particle falling from just inside the horizon toward the singularity.

In this region,  $r$  becomes the timelike coordinate. The geodesic equation for  $u^0$  may be written as

$$\left(\frac{2m}{r} - 1\right) u^0 = k$$

with initial value at  $r_0$  given by

$$\left(\frac{2m}{r_0} - 1\right) u_0^0 = k$$

Since  $r$  is now the timelike coordinate, we may choose  $u_0^0 = 0$ , and therefore,  $k = 0$ , and  $u^0 = 0$  along the entire geodesic. Then  $u^1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &= -\frac{1}{\left(\frac{2m}{r} - 1\right)} (u^1)^2 \\ u^1 &= \sqrt{\frac{2m}{r} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

Integrating,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \int d\tau = - \int_{r_0}^0 \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{2m}{r} - 1}} \\ &= - \int_{r_0}^0 \frac{\sqrt{r} dr}{\sqrt{2m - r}} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $y = \sqrt{2m - r}$ , and therefore,  $r = 2m - y^2$ ,

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{2m - y^2} dy$$

Now let  $y = \sqrt{2m} \sin \theta$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= m \int_{r_0}^0 \cos^2 \theta d\theta \\ &= \frac{m}{2} \int_{r_0}^0 (1 + \cos 2\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{m}{2} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta \right) \Big|_{r_0}^0 \\ &= \frac{m}{2} (\theta + \sin \theta \cos \theta) \Big|_{r_0}^0 \\ &= \frac{m}{2} \left( \arcsin \sqrt{1 - \frac{r}{2m}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{r}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{r}{2m}} \right) \Big|_{r_0}^0 \\ &= \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \arcsin \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{2m}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{r_0}{2m}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We may take the initial position to be  $r_0 = 2m$ , which gives

$$\tau = \frac{m\pi}{4}$$

which is, in particular, finite.

The infall doesn't take long for stellar sized black holes. For a black hole with 10 times the mass of the sun, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{GM\pi}{4c^2} \\ &= \frac{6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times 1.99 \times 10^{31} \times \pi}{4 \times 9 \times 10^{16}} \\ &= 3690 \text{ sec} \end{aligned}$$

or just over an hour. For a black hole at the center of a galaxy, which may have a mass a million times as great, the infall will take just short of two years.

## The escape of light

Finally, consider light which starts near the event horizon at  $t = 0$ . How long does it take to escape from the region of the black hole? We consider an outgoing null geodesic, which has  $v$  constant,

$$c = t - r - 2m \ln(r - 2m)$$

Suppose light leaves  $r_0 = 2m + \delta$  at time  $t = 0$ . Then

$$c = -2m - \delta - 2m \ln \delta$$

and the light reaches radius  $r$  at time

$$ct = r + 2m \ln(r - 2m) - 2m - \delta - 2m \ln \delta$$

Compare this time to the time it would take in free space for light to travel from  $2m + \delta$  to  $r$ , given by

$$t_0 = \frac{r - 2m - \delta}{c}$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{t_0} &= \frac{r + 2m \ln(r - 2m) - 2m - \delta - 2m \ln \delta}{r - 2m - \delta} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2m \ln(r - 2m) - 2m \ln \delta}{r - 2m - \delta} \\ &= 1 + \frac{2m}{r - 2m - \delta} \ln \frac{r - 2m}{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

Consider a black hole with 10 times the mass of the sun, so that

$$2m = \frac{2GM}{c^2} = 2950m$$

The time to reach the orbit of Earth ( $1.5 \times 10^{11}m$ ) from near the horizon would normally be about 500 seconds. This is increased by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t &= 500 \times \frac{2m}{r - 2m - \delta} \ln \frac{r - 2m}{\delta} \\ &= 500 \times \frac{2950}{1.5 \times 10^{11}} \ln \frac{1.5 \times 10^{11}}{\delta} \\ &= 9.83 \times 10^{-6} \ln \frac{1.5 \times 10^{11}}{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

For a distance of  $\delta = 1cm$  above the horizon, the time delay is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t &= 9.83 \times 10^{-6} \ln(1.5 \times 10^{13}) \\ &= 2.98 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec} \end{aligned}$$

so a collapsing star will appear to settle to its Schwarzschild radius extremely quickly.