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## 1 The Laplacian of $\frac{1}{r}$ and the Dirac delta function

Consider the potential of an isolated point charge $q$ at $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$

$$
\Phi=\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}
$$

For convenience, choose coordinates so that $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ is at the origin. Then in spherical coordinates, the potential is proportional to $\frac{1}{r}$. As a function, $f=\frac{1}{r}$ is defined on the open interval $(0, \infty)$, but not at the origin. Its Laplacian is also defined on this interval, and is quickly seen to vanish everywhere,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) & =\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{2} \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}(-1) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to a difficulty when we consider the divergence theorem, for which the volume integral includes the origin

$$
\int_{V} \nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) d^{3} x=\oint_{S} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) d^{2} x
$$

since the right hand side is well-defined but the left is not. Indeed, for a sphere $S_{\varepsilon}$, of radius $\varepsilon$, the integral on the right becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\oint_{S_{\varepsilon}} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) d^{2} x & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot\left(-\hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right) \varepsilon^{2} \sin \theta d \theta d \varphi \\
& =-4 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the integral on the left is undefined.
The rigorous way to handle this is to extend the function $f=\frac{1}{r}$ to a distribution. A distribution is defined as the limit of a sequence of functions, giving an object which is only meaningful when integrated. Thus, if we define a distribution $f$ to be the limit

$$
f(x) \equiv \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} f_{a}(x)
$$

where $f_{a}(x)$ is a collection of functions depending on a parameter $a$. A distribution is often called a functional, and we use the two terms interchangeably.

The integral of the distribution is defined as the limit of the well-behaved integrals of the series of functions

$$
\int f(x) d x \equiv \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int f_{a}(x) d x
$$

and this may be perfectly finite even if $f(x)$ is not a true function.
With this in mind, let $f_{a}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}}}$. This is defined for the closed interval $r \in[0, \infty]$, and so is its Laplacian

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}}}\right) & =\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{2} \frac{d}{d r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(-\frac{1}{2} r^{2} \frac{2 r}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{r^{3}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{3 r^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}-\frac{3}{2} \frac{2 r^{4}\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}{)}\right) \\
& =-\frac{3}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}+\frac{3 r^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{3 r^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}-\frac{3\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} \\
& =-\frac{3 a^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We may therefore define a distribution to extend $\delta(\mathbf{x})=\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\mathbf{x}) & =\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} f_{a}(x) \\
& =\lim _{a \rightarrow 0}\left(-\frac{3 a^{2}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral is now well-defined:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{V} \nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) d^{3} x & \equiv \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{V} \nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}}}\right) d^{3} x \\
& =-12 \pi \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} a^{2} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{r^{2} d r}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{5 / 2}} \\
& =-4 \pi \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{d r} \frac{r^{3}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d r \\
& =-\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{4 \pi \varepsilon^{3}}{\left(\varepsilon^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& =-4 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

for any finite $\varepsilon$. As a pleasant bonus, the divergence theorem is now satisfied as long as we understand $\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$ to be a distribution.

Notice that the functional $\delta(r)$ diverges at $r=0$ and vanishes for all $r>0$, while its integral is finite. Furthermore, if $f(r)$ is any smooth, bounded function of $r$ whih vanishes outside some compact set, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{V} f(r) \nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) d^{3} x & =\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{V} f(r) \nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2}+a^{2}}}\right) d^{3} x \\
& =-4 \pi f(0) \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{d r} \frac{r^{3}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d r-4 \pi \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r \frac{d f}{d r} \frac{d}{d r} \frac{r^{3}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d r+\ldots \\
& =-4 \pi f(0)-4 \pi R
\end{aligned}
$$

where the remainder $R$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} r \frac{d f}{d r} \frac{d}{d r} \frac{r^{3}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d r+\ldots \\
& <\varepsilon f^{\prime}(0) \lim _{a \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{d r} \frac{r^{3}}{\left(r^{2}+a^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} d r+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

which vanishes as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, leaving

$$
\int_{V} f(r) \nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) d^{3} x=-4 \pi f(0)
$$

This means that $\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)=\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)$ is proportional to a Dirac delta function(al) at the origin,

$$
\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|}\right)=-4 \pi \delta^{3}(\mathbf{x})
$$

Returning to an arbitrary origin, we may write this as

$$
\nabla^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}\right)=-4 \pi \delta^{3}\left(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Notice that what is universally referred to as the Dirac delta function is, properly speaking, a functional.

## 2 General solution for the potential of a point charge with boundary conditions

In terms of the potential, Gauss's law in free space is

$$
\nabla^{2} \Phi=-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \rho(\mathbf{x})
$$

The charge density for an isolated charge $q$ at position $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ is

$$
\rho(\mathbf{x})=q \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

We wish to solve for the potential $\Phi$ for this point source, and boundary conditions given on some surface, $S$. The boundary may be comprised of multiple pieces.

From the preceding section, we see that the solution for the potential is

$$
\Phi(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{q}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}+\Phi_{0}
$$

where $\Phi_{0}$ is any solution to the Laplace equation,

$$
\nabla^{2} \Phi_{0}=0
$$

Now, we know that the solution to the Laplace equation is unique once we specify boundary conditions, and a formal proof of this will be given below. Suppose we have boundary conditions $\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{S}\right)=\left.\Phi(\mathbf{x})\right|_{S}$ for any point $\mathbf{x}_{S}$ on $S$. Then if we require

$$
\Phi_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}_{S}\right)=\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}_{S}\right)-\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{q}{\left|\mathbf{x}_{S}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}
$$

there is a unique solution for $\Phi_{0}$, and therefore a unique solution for $\Phi$ satisfying the given boundary conditions.

Alternatively, we may find the solution directly by solving

$$
\nabla^{2} \Phi=-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with boundary conditions $\Phi_{0}\left(\mathbf{x}_{S}\right)$. This is more straightforward than it appears, because the Dirac delta function vanishes almost everywhere. Therefore, unless $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$, we are solving the Laplace equation. As a result, we may construct our solution for $\Phi$ from solutions to the corresponding Laplace equation.

### 2.1 Example: Isolated point charge

The simplest example is for an isolated point charge, with the potential vanishing at infinity. We have already shown that

$$
\nabla^{2} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}=-4 \pi \delta^{3}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

so we immediately have

$$
\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}+F(\mathbf{x})
$$

where $F$ satisfies the Laplace equation, $\nabla^{2} F=0$. By uniqueness, the function $F$ must be determined by the boundary conditions. In the present case, we ask for $\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ to vanish at $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty$. Since the first term in $\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$ already satisfies this, we require the same condition for $F$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{2} F & =0 \\
F(\infty) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The argument of the preceeding section shows that $F(\mathbf{x})=0$ is the unique solution to this, so the Green function for an isolated point charge is $G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}$.

If we have a localized distribution of charge, $\rho\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)$, in empty space, the potential vanishes at infinity and we can use this Green function to find the potential everywhere by integrating

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\mathbf{x}) & =\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \int G\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} x^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \int \frac{\rho\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|} d^{3} x^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Example: Boundary conditions on a square

### 2.2.1 The Laplace equation

Consider a 2-dimensional example, with boundary conditions given on a square of side $L$ with one corner at the origin. Let the boundary at $x=L$ have potential $V_{0}$, with the remaining boundary segments having
$\Phi=0$. Then with the single charge $q$ at $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, the Poisson equation becomes

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \Phi=-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)
$$

We begin by solving the homogeneous Laplace equation,

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \Phi=0
$$

by separating in Cartesian coordinates. Writing $\Phi=X(x) Y(y)$, then dividing by $\Phi$, the Laplace equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{X Y}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) X Y & =0 \\
\frac{1}{X} \frac{d^{2} X}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{Y} \frac{d^{2} Y}{d y^{2}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the first term depends only on $x$ and the second only on $y$, each must be constant, so

$$
\frac{1}{X} \frac{d^{2} X}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{Y} \frac{d^{2} Y}{d y^{2}}=\alpha^{2}
$$

with the immediate solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\alpha}(x) & =A_{\alpha} \sinh \alpha x+C_{\alpha} \cosh \alpha x \\
Y_{\alpha}(y) & =B_{\alpha} \sin \alpha y+D_{\alpha} \cos \alpha y
\end{aligned}
$$

These functions will satisfy the boundary conditions for $y$ and at $x=0$ if we set $\alpha=\frac{\pi n}{L}$ and $C_{\alpha}=D_{\alpha}=0$, leaving

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\alpha}(x) & =A_{\alpha} \sinh \frac{n \pi x}{L} \\
Y_{\alpha}(y) & =B_{\alpha} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining coefficients, the general solution is then a sum

$$
\Phi(x, y)=\sum_{n} A_{n} \sinh \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
$$

The remaining boundary condition at $x=L$ is found by setting

$$
V_{0}=\Phi(L, y)=\sum_{n} A_{n} \sinh n \pi \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
$$

This is just a Fourier series for a constant. Multiplying by $\sin \frac{m \pi y}{L}$ and integrating,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0} \int_{0}^{L} d y \sin \frac{m \pi y}{L} & =\Phi(L, y)=\sum_{n} A_{n} \sinh n \pi \int_{0}^{L} d y \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi y}{L} \\
-\frac{L V_{0}}{m \pi}(\cos m \pi-1) & =\sum_{n} A_{n} \frac{L}{2} \delta_{m n} \sinh n \pi \\
\frac{L V_{0}}{m \pi}\left(1-(-1)^{m}\right) & =A_{m} \frac{L}{2} \sinh m \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
A_{m}=\frac{2 V_{0}}{m \pi \sinh m \pi}\left(1-(-1)^{m}\right)
$$

and finally

$$
\Phi(x, y)=\sum_{n o d d} \frac{4 V_{0}}{n \pi \sinh n \pi} \sinh \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
$$

### 2.2.2 Fourier representation of the Dirac delta function

Noting that the $y$-dependence is described by a sine series, we make use of the fact that the Dirac delta functional may also be written as a Fourier series,

$$
\delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n} A_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
$$

To find the $A_{n}$, multiply by $\sin \frac{m \pi y}{L}$ and integrate to find the coefficients,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{L} d y \delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) \sin \frac{m \pi y}{L} & =\int_{0}^{L} d y \sum_{n} A_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi y}{L} \\
\sin \frac{m \pi y^{\prime}}{L} & =\sum_{n} A_{n} \delta_{m n} \int_{0}^{L} d y \sin ^{2} \frac{n \pi y}{L} \\
& =\sum_{n} A_{n} \frac{1}{2} \delta_{m n} \int_{0}^{L} d y\left(\sin ^{2} \frac{n \pi y}{L}+1-\cos ^{2} \frac{n \pi y}{L}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} A_{n} \delta_{m n} \int_{0}^{L} d y\left(1-\cos \frac{2 n \pi y}{L}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} A_{n} \delta_{m n} L \\
& =\frac{L}{2} A_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $A_{m}=\frac{2}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi y^{\prime}}{L}$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)=\frac{2}{L} \sum_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating twice shows that

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d y^{2}}\left(-\frac{2}{L} \sum_{n} \frac{L^{2}}{n^{2} \pi^{2}} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}\right)=\delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)
$$

### 2.2.3 An ansatz for a particular solution

While there are systematic approaches to solving the point particle Poisson equation in various coordinate systems, we take a simpler approach here.

Suppose we guess that we can find a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{p}(x, y)=-\frac{2 L}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n^{2}} f_{n}(x) \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substitute eqs.(1) and (2) into the Poisson equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \Phi & =-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right) \\
\sum_{n}\left(-\frac{L^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{d^{2} f_{n}(x)}{d x^{2}}+f_{n}(x)\right) \frac{2}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L} & =-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, equating like terms,

$$
-\frac{L^{2}}{n^{2} \pi^{2}} \frac{d^{2} f_{n}}{d x^{2}}+f_{n}=-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Now expand $f_{n}$ and the second delta function,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}(x) & =\frac{2}{L} \sum_{m} B_{m} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L} \\
\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{2}{L} \sum_{m} \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

and substitute,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{L^{2}}{n^{2} \pi^{2}} \frac{2}{L} \sum_{m} B_{m} \frac{m^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L}+\frac{2}{L} \sum_{m} B_{m} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L} & =-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{2}{L} \sum_{m} \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L} \\
\left(1+\frac{m^{2}}{n^{2}}\right) B_{m} & =-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \\
B_{m} & =-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{n^{2}}{n^{2}+m^{2}} \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Phi_{p}(x, y)=\frac{q}{\pi^{3} \epsilon_{0}} \sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{n^{2}+m^{2}} \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
$$

Finally, check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \Phi_{p}(x, y) & =-\frac{q}{\pi^{3} \epsilon_{0}} \sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{n^{2}+m^{2}}\left(\frac{m^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}}+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}}\right) \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L} \\
& =-\frac{q}{\pi^{3} \epsilon_{0}} \frac{\pi^{2}}{L^{2}} \sum_{m} \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L} \sum_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L} \\
& =-\frac{q}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2.4 Boundary conditions

The potential $\Phi_{p}(x, y)$ is a particular solution to our Poissoin equation, but it does not satisfy the boundary condition at $x=L$, instead vanishing at all four boundary lines. To get the complete solution, we need to add the homogeneous solution that satisfies the boundary conditions. The full solution is therefore

$$
\Phi(x, y)=\frac{q}{\pi^{3} \epsilon_{0}} \sum_{m, n} \frac{1}{n^{2}+m^{2}} \sin \frac{m \pi x^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{m \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y^{\prime}}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}+\sum_{n o d d} \frac{4 V_{0}}{n \pi \sinh n \pi} \sinh \frac{n \pi x}{L} \sin \frac{n \pi y}{L}
$$

## 3 Superposition

The general problem of electrostatics is to solve the Poission equation,

$$
\nabla^{2} \Phi=-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \rho(\mathbf{x})
$$

with given charge density $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ and boundary conditions $\left.\Phi(\mathbf{x})\right|_{S}$. Knowing the solution for a point charge at $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ allows us to do this immediately by taking the superposition of infinitesimal charges $\rho\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} x^{\prime}$ and summing (integrating) over our entire volume:

$$
\Phi(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \int_{V} \frac{\rho\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d^{3} x^{\prime}}{\left|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right|}+\Phi_{0}(\mathbf{x})
$$

where $\Phi_{0}$ satisfies the Laplace equation. We choose $\Phi_{0}$ so that $\Phi$ satisfies the boundary condition. We will examine details of this solution in the next Note.

